Executive Summary

Problem Statement and Hypothesis

Problem Statement: Home prices in the United States have risen substantially over the past two years. According to Reuters, the average home price has risen 17% over the last year and is expected to increase another 10.3%. (Kishan & Ganguly, 2022) The causes of this dramatic rise in prices include low-interest rates, low inventory of homes for sale, a soaring stock market, and covid. People have more cash on hand because of the lockdown. These influences have led to multiple offers above asking prices for all properties. (Orton, 2022) This study will use Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression to explore the home’s features and find if these features affect the home’s price.

Research Question and Hypothesis: Does ward affect the price of a home in Washington D.C.? What other features affect the price? The null hypothesis is that Ward and other features do not statistically significantly affect the price of a home when the alpha level is 0.05. The alternative hypothesis is that Ward and other features statistically significantly affect the home price when the alpha level is 0.05.

Data Analysis Process

Data Collection: The data set for this study was downloaded from D.C. Residential Properties on Kaggle, where it is publicly available. (Chrisc, 2018) It contains 159,000 records of homes in Washington, D.C. The data set has 49 columns. Twenty-two of these columns were used in this study. The columns used are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Column Name | Type | Description of Data |
| BATHRM | Numeric | Number of Bathrooms |
| HF\_BATHRM | Numeric | Number of Half Bathrooms |
| HEAT | Categorical | Heating Type |
| AC | Boolean | Air Conditioning Y/N |
| NUM\_UNITS | Numeric | Number of Units |
| ROOMS | Numeric | Number of Rooms |
| BEDRM | Numeric | Number of Bedrooms |
| AYB | Numeric | When the main portion of the house was built |
| EYB | Numeric | Year of the last improvement |
| STORIES | Numeric | Number of Stories |
| GBA | Numeric | Gross building area in square feet |
| STYLE | Categorical | Style Description |
| STRUCT | Categorical | Structure Description |
| GRADE | Categorical | Grade Description |
| CNDTN | Categorical | Condition Description |
| EXTWALL | Categorical | Exterior Wall Description |
| ROOF | Categorical | Roof Type Description |
| INTWALL | Categorical | Interior Wall Description |
| KITCHENS | Categorical | Number of Kitchens |
| FIREPLACES | Categorical | Number of Fireplaces |
| LANDAREA | Categorical | Land Area of Property in square feet |
| WARD | Categorical | City Ward |

Data Preparation: Python was the primary tool used in this study. Pandas and NumPy were used to import, clean, and transform the data set. Seaborn and Matplotlib were used to create visualizations. The data was loaded into a Jupyter notebook for cleaning and analysis. A new DataFrame was made with the columns listed above. All rows where PRICE was null were removed. The word ‘ward’ was removed from all entries in the WARD column, and the data type was changed to an integer.

The percent of nulls was calculated for each column. There were 11 columns with 25% of the data missing. The mode was used to replace the missing data for NUM\_UNITS, STORIES, EXTWALL, INTWALL, and KITCHENS. The median was used to fill the null values for GBA. A ‘Missing’ class was created to replace nulls in the STRUCT, GRADE, CNDTN, and ROOF columns. STYLE was found to be redundant to STORIES and was dropped. AYB has 112 rows with null values that were removed. AYB and EYB were changed to DATETIME.

Summary statistics and boxplots were used to find outliers. Outliers were removed for the following columns: PRICE, BATHRM, HF\_BATHRM, STORIES, KITCHENS, FIREPLACES, ROOMS, BEDRM, LANDAREA. All duplicates were dropped. Dummy variables were created for the categorical features.

Analysis: Ordinary Least Squares regression was used to find the relationship between PRICE and the predictive features. The data set was split into PRICE and all other columns. A constant term was added to serve as the intercept. The model was trained and fitted. A summary of the model was run.

Results: The model has an R-squared value of 44.5%. The mean absolute error is $135808. A residual plot was created. The distribution of points appears to be even, but it is hard to read, given the density of the points.

The main result of the study is that the null hypothesis is rejected. Ward is statistically significant. Thirty-seven other features were also found to be statistically significant. They are as follows:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Feature | Coefficient |
| GRADE\_Good\_Quality | 802500 |
| INTWALL\_Terrazo | 351600 |
| GRADE\_Exceptional-B | 289800 |
| ROOF\_Wood-FS | 197900 |
| INTWALL\_Parquet | 197000 |
| INTWALL\_Vinyl Comp | 158300 |
| INTWALL\_Vinyl Sheet | 130400 |
| CNDTN\_Very Good | 128300 |
| CNDTN\_Excellent | 121300 |
| GRADE\_Very Good | 116200 |
| GRADE\_Superior | 102000 |
| GRADE\_Exceptional-A | 101700 |
| INTWALL\_Default | 87630 |
| ROOF\_Neopren | 85900 |
| BATHRM | 85040 |
| CNDTN\_Good | 71200 |
| CNDTN\_Poor | 67000 |
| INTWALL\_Hardwood | 65770 |
| INTWALL\_Wood Floor | 48350 |
| HF\_BATHRM | 44944 |
| FIREPLACES | 38140 |
| ROOF\_Comp Shingle | 36620 |
| INTWALL\_Hardwood.Carp | 27860 |
| BEDRM | 23380 |
| KITCHENS | 14790 |
| ROOMS | 3189.8637 |
| EYB | 2259.1784 |
| LANDAREA | -2.9952 |
| GBA | -53.5925 |
| AYB | -1436.2863 |
| ROOF\_Shake | -24230 |
| WARD | -24850 |
| STORIES | -25050 |
| ROOF\_Clay Tile | -48410 |
| NUM\_UNITS | -64960 |
| ROOF\_Composition Ro | -75970 |
| AC\_N | -84690 |

Limitations of the Technique and Tools

A limitation of this study is the amount of missing data. Deleting rows and adding a missing class to some features may have introduced bias into the study. The new ‘Missing’ class also added new features to the analysis when the categorical features were encoded, contributing to the model’s poor performance.

Proposed Actions

This study shows that the feature that adds the most value to a home is a good grade. Not having an air conditioner has the most significant adverse effect on a home’s price. Investors and homebuyers should focus on the features that add the most value, like condition, grade, and the type of interior.

Future studies could take a non-linear approach to model the data to see if a better prediction model could be built. Another method could be exploring if the sales price has a systematic pattern over a period of time.

Expected Benefits

The expected benefit of this study is that investors and homebuyers will know what features of a home affect the price and by how much. New Investors or first-time homebuyers in Washington D.C. could benefit from this study. Since they have no experience in real estate, this study will help them understand which home features are essential to the final price of a home. They can use this information to ensure they are not overpaying for a home. If two houses have all the same features, but one has an air conditioner, they will know the price for the home with an AC should be more. Buyers interested in flipping houses can use this study to see which features to invest money in to get a larger return.
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